EXHIBIT 1

UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

EXHIBIT 1

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2690-11 Filed 01/28/19 Page 2 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
     WAYMO LLC,
                    Plaintiff,
 6
 7
                                             Case No.
        VS.
                                             17-cv-00939-WHA
 8
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
     OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC, )
 9
                   Defendants.
10
11
12
13
       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY
14
        VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION of OTTO TRUCKING
15
          by and through its Designated Representative
16
17
                       ADAM BENTLEY, ESQ.
18
                    San Francisco, California
                    Tuesday, August 22, 2017
19
                            Volume I
20
21
22
     Reported by:
     MARY J. GOFF
23
     CSR No. 13427
     Job No. 2684904B
24
     PAGES 1 - 95
25
                                                      Page 1
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2690-11 Filed 01/28/19 Page 3 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

1	issuance.	03:21:33
2	And then I would work with outside counsel	03:21:34
3	to provide a written consent and provide it to the	03:21:35
4	managing members for their approval and signature.	03:21:40
5	Q So and it requires the majority of the	03:21:46
6	managing members to actually authorize a written	03:21:52
7	consent, right?	03:21:56
8	A Correct.	03:21:58
9	Q And that would because there's only two	03:21:58
10	managing members, that would require unanimity?	03:22:01
11	A Correct.	03:22:05
12	Q Have have there been any instance in	03:22:06
13	which only one of the two managing members has	03:22:07
14	has approved of a written consent and the report was	03:22:11
15	not actually executed?	03:22:16
16	A No. To my knowledge, the only written	03:22:19
17	consents that have been passed have been those that	03:22:23
18	have been signed by both managing members. I'm not	03:22:25
19	aware of any instance where one refused to sign the	03:22:28
20	other.	03:22:32
21	Q Under the corporate formation document of	03:22:34
22	Otto Trucking, there is an option under which one of	03:22:40
23	the managing members is well, let me ask it this	03:22:45
24	way: Under the corporate governance documents for	03:22:47
25	Otto Trucking, there is a way to divest a	03:22:51
		Page 72

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2690-11 Filed 01/28/19 Page 4 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

1	duties.	03:26:56
2	Q (BY MR. JUDAH) Does Mr. Levandowski owe a	03:26:57
3	duty of loyalty to Otto Trucking?	03:26:59
4	MR. SCHUMAN: Same objections.	03:27:02
5	A And again, I don't have the LLC Agreement	03:27:05
6	in front of me, but my understanding is that duties	03:27:07
7	are generally disclaimed.	03:27:10
8	Q (BY MR. JUDAH) Is Mr. Levandowski	03:27:15
9	complying with all corporate duties he owes Otto	03:27:17
10	Trucking?	03:27:19
11	MR. SCHUMAN: Objection, beyond the scope.	03:27:21
12	And I'm also going to instruct you not to reveal any	03:27:22
13	attorney/client privileged communications.	03:27:26
14	A So as the notes indicate, the primary	03:27:29
15	activity	03:27:33
16	MS. EWINS: Object as to form.	03:27:35
17	A the primary matter that the managing	03:27:37
18	members have been have had to handle up to this	03:27:40
19	point have been equity issuances, of which there's	03:27:46
20	no more equity being issued. And both managing	03:27:49
21	members perform their duties in connection with that	03:27:54
22	item.	03:27:57
23	Q (BY MR. JUDAH) Has Mr. Levandowski	03:27:58
24	performed any corporate duties on behalf of Otto	03:27:59
25	Trucking since January 1, 2017?	03:28:02
		Page 76

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2690-11 Filed 01/28/19 Page 5 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

1	MR. SCHUMAN: Objection as to form.	03:28:09
2	A I'm not aware that there's been a written	03:28:13
3	consent since the 1st of the year of 2017.	03:28:16
4	Q (BY MR. JUDAH) And the written consent	03:28:21
5	would be the way any corporate action would would	03:28:25
6	take place?	03:28:29
7	A Yes.	03:28:30
8	Q So the same question with respect to Otto	03:28:32
9	Transport.	03:28:35
10	A I'm not aware of any corporate action	03:28:37
11	taken by Otto Transport.	03:28:40
12	Q Since January 1, 2017?	03:28:43
13	A Correct.	03:28:46
14	Q How about this going back to the	03:28:55
15	response for Topic 1, this Framework Agreement	03:28:56
16	between Otto Trucking and Uber, wasn't that some	03:29:00
17	sort of corporate action?	03:29:03
18	A So that was an action taken in connection	03:29:06
19	with the Merger Agreement. And in 2016, there was	03:29:07
20	already a broad approval by the managing members of	03:29:11
21	Otto Trucking to enter into the Merger Agreement and	03:29:14
22	other transactions or documents related thereto.	03:29:17
23	Q Did someone sign that Framework Agreement	03:29:21
24	on May 18, 2017?	03:29:24
25	A Yes.	03:29:27
		Page 77

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2690-11 Filed 01/28/19 Page 6 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

1	Q Okay. So Otto Trucking has a license for	03:32:04
2	any in-house LiDAR developed by Ottomotto prior to	03:32:06
3	August 23, 2016?	03:32:10
4	A There is a License Agreement that we have	03:32:14
5	discussed which is in place between Ottomotto and	03:32:16
6	Otto Trucking. But because Otto Trucking has no	03:32:19
7	personnel and is not developing any technology,	03:32:22
8	that's not anything that's being utilized.	03:32:24
9	Q So so they have a license to it, but	03:32:31
10	your testimony is they aren't actually utilizing	03:32:33
11	anything anything under that license?	03:32:36
12	A Correct. Because there are no employees	03:32:38
13	or consultants at Otto Trucking, it's doing no	03:32:41
14	development work of any kind that would require	03:32:44
15	exploiting the license.	03:32:47
16	Q The the trucks that Otto Transport	03:32:49
17	owns, they run they use LiDAR, right?	03:32:54
18	A My understanding is they use off-the-shelf	03:32:59
19	commercially available LiDAR.	03:33:03
20	Q Who supplies that LiDAR?	03:33:05
21	A My understanding is those are Velodyne	03:33:07
22	LiDARs.	03:33:10
23	Q Have you received any compensation in	03:33:16
24	your your role as an officer of Otto Trucking?	03:33:20
25	MR. SCHUMAN: Objection, beyond the scope.	03:33:23
		Page 80

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2690-11 Filed 01/28/19 Page 7 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

1	question was specific to the Otto Transport-owned	03:39:51
2	trucks, yes.	03:39:54
3	A Okay.	03:39:57
4	MR. SCHUMAN: Objection as to form; beyond	03:39:58
5	the scope.	03:39:58
6	A No, I'm not aware of any fully autonomous	03:40:02
7	operation of any of Otto Transport's trucks.	03:40:06
8	Q (BY MR. JUDAH) Does Otto Trucking have any	03:40:10
9	knowledge as to when the Otto Transport-owned trucks	03:40:12
10	will be commercially launched as autonomous	03:40:16
11	vehicles?	03:40:22
12	MR. SCHUMAN: Objection as to form;	03:40:23
13	objection, beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) Notice.	03:40:25
14	A Otto Trucking does not have knowledge as	03:40:33
15	to when those trucks will be commercialized or	03:40:35
16	exactly when they would be able to have fully	03:40:38
17	autonomous capabilities.	03:40:40
18	Q (BY MR. JUDAH) How close is Otto Trucking	03:40:44
19	to having custom LiDAR that is ready to go to	03:40:47
20	market?	03:40:50
21	MR. SCHUMAN: Objection as to form.	03:40:53
22	A Otto Trucking is nowhere close to that	03:40:55
23	since it doesn't have any custom LiDAR program.	03:40:59
24	Q (BY MR. JUDAH) Well, what else does Otto	03:41:03
25	Trucking need to do to get its custom LiDAR ready to	03:41:04
		Page 86

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2690-11 Filed 01/28/19 Page 8 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

1	go to market?	03:41:07
2	MR. SCHUMAN: Objection as to form. Can	03:41:08
3	you repeat can you read back the question,	03:41:10
4	please?	03:41:12
5	Q (BY MR. JUDAH) What else does Otto	03:41:13
6	Trucking need to do to get its custom LiDAR ready to	03:41:14
7	go to market?	03:41:17
8	A Otto Trucking does not have a custom LiDAR	03:41:19
9	program.	03:41:21
10	Q The LiDAR sensors that are on Otto	03:41:23
11	Trucking the LiDAR sensors that are on Otto	03:41:26
12	Transport's owned trucks, how much do they cost to	03:41:30
13	build?	03:41:34
14	MR. SCHUMAN: Objection, beyond the scope	03:41:34
15	of the 30(b)(6) Notice. Objection as to form.	03:41:35
16	A The LiDAR that are on the four Otto	03:41:40
17	Transport trucks are purchased. They are, to my	03:41:45
18	understanding, commercially available	03:41:48
19	units.	03:41:51
20	Q (BY MR. JUDAH) And how much do they cost	03:41:51
21	to purchase?	03:41:53
22	A I do not	03:41:54
23	MR. SCHUMAN: Objection, beyond the scope	03:41:55
24	of the 30(b)(6) Notice.	03:41:56
25	A I don't know the cost of each of those	03:41:57
		Page 87

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2690-11 Filed 01/28/19 Page 9 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

I, MARY J. GOFF, CSR No. 13427, Certified 1 Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, 2 certify; 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 before me at the time and place herein set forth, at 5 which time the witness declared under penalty of perjury; that the testimony of the witness and all 6 objections made at the time of the examination were 7 recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed under my direction and supervision; that 8 the foregoing is a full, true, and correct 9 transcript of my shorthand notes so taken and of the 10 testimony so given; That before completion of the deposition, 11 review of the transcript () was (XX) was not 12) that the witness has failed or 13 requested: refused to approve the transcript. 14 I further certify that I am not financially 15 interested in the action, and I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor of any 16 17 of the parties. I declare under penalty of perjury under the 18 laws of California that the foregoing is true and 19 correct, dated this 24th day of August 2017. 20 21 22 23 24 25 MARY J. GOFF, CSR No. 13427